

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,921	07/09/2003	Takahisa Hatakeyama	826.1880	7868	
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 09/21/2007		EXAM	INER	
SUITE 700	LOCI LLI		SHERR, CI	SHERR, CRISTINA O	
1201 NEW YC WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3621		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20003				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

. (Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/614,921	HATAKEYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/9/0) 7 .					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-38 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,13-16,18-27,29-33,35 and 36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2, 5-6,8-12,17,28,34 and 36 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
oce the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
-						
Attachment(s)	🗖 .					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/07</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/614,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed July 9, 2007. Claims 1, 28 and 34 have been amended. Claims 3 and 4 have been canceled. Claims 1-2 and 5-38 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 2, 5-6, 8-12, 17, 28, 34 and 36 are currently presented for examination as being drawn to an elected invention and species. Claims 7, 13-16, 18-27, 29-33, and 35 are withdrawn as being drawn to a nonelected invention and/or species.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on April 12, 2007 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11, 2, 5-6, 8-12, 17, 28, 34 and 36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8-12, 17, 28, 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al (US 2001/0018736).

Art Unit: 3621

5. Regarding claim 1 -

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit executing a program(e.g. abs, par 0004, 0078) comprising an encrypting unit encrypting a block (e.g. 0069, 0074), and decrypting an encrypted block (e.g. par 0079, fig.2), wherein: a first private key is concealed in secrecy (e.g. par 0075); and said encrypting unit obtains from a first license a code decryption key for decrypting an encrypted block which configures a first program by decrypting with the first private key the first license of the first program (e.g. par 0074), which is encrypted with a public key pairing with the first private key (e.g. par 0075);

the code decryption key is recorded to said tamper resistant buffer (par 0147), the first license includes an access condition used when an execution process of the first program accesses a memory region, and wherein said central processing unit further includes:

a Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB) recording an address of the memory region, at which the encrypted block which configures the first program is recorded, and the access condition to the memory region (par 80),

a memory-managing unit (par 0080),

a cache(par 144), and.

a processor core ((par 0144),

wherein said TLB and said tamper resistant buffer are linked(par 144),

wherein said memory managing unit obtains the access condition to the memory region from said TLB based on an address or a memory region, at which an

Art Unit: 3621

encrypted block is recorded, and further obtains the code decryption key corresponding to the memory region from said tamper resistant buffer (par 146),

wherein said processor core determines whether an access to the memory region is permitted to be made from the execution process based on the access condition obtained by process if said processor core determines that the access to the memory region is permitted to be made (par 247) and,

wherein said encrypting unit writes to said cache a code obtained by decrypting the encrypted block within the memory region with the code decryption key obtained by said memory managing unit.(par 146).

- 6. Hashimoto does not use the same terminology, as the instant application, "secret key" rather than "private key", but such differences in terminology do not confer patentability. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt terminology to suit the needs of the users.
- 7. Regarding claim 2 -
- 8. Hashimoto discloses the central processing unit wherein said encrypting unit decrypts the encrypted block in units of cache when the encrypted block which configures the first program is output from a memory region to said cache (fig.8 par 0172).
- 9. Regarding claim 5 -

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit wherein the code decryption key and the encryption key used to encrypt the encrypted block are a same key (e.g. par 0049).

10. Regarding claim 6 -

Application/Control Number: 10/614,921

Art Unit: 3621

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit wherein when a memory region accessed from the execution process of the first program switches from a first memory region to a second memory region, said memory managing unit further determines whether or not a code decryption key corresponding to the first memory region, which is obtained from said tamper resistant buffer, and a code decryption key corresponding to the second memory region match, and an access is made to the second memory region from the execution process if said memory managing unit determines that the code decryption keys match, or the access to the second memory region is not made from the execution process if said memory managing unit determines that the code decryption keys mismatch. (e.g. 0094).

11. Regarding claim 8 -

Hashimoto discloses the central processing unit wherein: a different data encryption key is recorded to said tamper resistant buffer for each code decryption key; said encrypting unit records data within said cache to the memory region that is corresponded to the data decryption key by said TLB after encrypting the data with the data decryption key when recording the data to the memory region, and writes encrypted data within the memory region to said cache after decrypting the read data with the data encryption key when reading the encrypted data within the memory region (e.g. par 0050).

12. Regarding claim 9 -

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit wherein when data obtained by executing a first code is used by a second code, said processor core sets said TLB so as to provide the second code with an access right to a memory region to which the data is

Application/Control Number: 10/614,921

Art Unit: 3621

recorded, and also sets said TLB and said tamper resistant buffer so that the second code uses a data encryption key for encrypting the data when reading the data from the memory region (e.g. par 0090).

18. Regarding claim 10 –

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit further comprising: a register; and a register access control table for performing access control for said register, wherein said processor core controls sealing and release of said register with a sealing flag within said register access control table (e.g. par 0145).

19. Regarding claim 11 –

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit wherein when contents of said TLB is recorded to a page table within an external storage device, said encrypting unit affixes a signature to the contents to be recorded, and verifies whether or not the signature is legal when contents of the page table is captured into said TLB. (e.g. par 0147).

20. Regarding claim 12 -

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit wherein when contents of said tamper resistant buffer is recorded to an encryption key table within an external storage device, said encrypting unit encrypts the contents to be recorded. (e.g. par 0147).

21. Regarding claim 17 –

Hashimoto discloses a central processing unit wherein: said tamper resistant buffer records unable-to-output information indicating whether or not to output corresponding information within said tamper resistant buffer to an outside of said tamper resistant buffer, and cache lock information indicating whether or not to output corresponding

information to an outside of said cache; and a move of the first license between the first program and a different program is managed based on the unable-to-output information and the cache lock information. (e.g. par 0180).

- 22. Claims 28, 34, and 36 are rejected under the same criteria as above.
- 23. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

 Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,921

Art Unit: 3621

26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cristina Owen Sherr

Patent Examiner, AU 3621

JALATEE WORJLOH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8